

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/776,375	02/02/2001	Kevin T. Gallo	03797.00029	8078	
26389	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTEN 1420 FIFTH	SEN, O'CONNOR, JOHI AVENUE	SHANNON, MICHAEL R			
SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE, V	WA 98101-2347	2614			
			DATE MAII ED: 03/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_					// //			
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/776,3	75	GALLO ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			Shannon	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with t	the correspondence addres	SS			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with the office later than three months after that there madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stattory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (3i rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABANI	be timely filed  D) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this commu	ınication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 02 February 20	01		•			
<i>'</i> —								
′=								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠ '	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepte on to the drawing(s) t ne correction is requir	be held in abeyance. red if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority documental al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Appl ents have been rec le 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Sta	ge			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			mary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date			ail Date πal Patent Application (PTO-152	?)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-34, 37-51, and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jensen et al (USPN 6,834,371), cited by examiner.

Regarding claim 1, the claimed "system for synchronizing playback of media content with other content or with host computer time information" is met as follows:

- The claimed "web browser for providing a timing representation to a media player" is met by the web browser discussed in column 6, lines 39-40.
- The claimed "media player implementing a first interface for object management and a second interface for exchanging timing and synchronization information with the web browser" is met by the presentation as discussed in column 9, lines 57-60 which provides timing information and synchronization information [col. 10, line 62 col. 11, line 8].
- The claimed "player-hosting peer within the web browser for negotiating a playback state and a rendering status between the browser and the media

player" is met by the event handling software discussed in column 10, line 50 – column 11, line 42 for synchronizing and rendering media objects accordingly.

Regarding claim 2, the claimed "player-hosting peer issues commands to the media player" is met by the fact that the event handler can control via a pause or stop command, the presentation [col. 11, lines 27-42].

Regarding claim 3, the claimed "media player notifies the player-hosting peer of media player state changes" is met by the presentation notifying the event handler that the change in state of the presentation has changed [col. 11, lines 15-50].

Regarding claim 4, the claimed "second interface includes a playback state and a current playback time passed from the media player to the web browser" is met by the presentation notifying the event handler that the change in state of the presentation has changed [col. 11, lines 15-50] and the current position of the presentation [col. 11, line 1].

Regarding claim 5, the claimed "player and the player-hosting peer jointly maintain the playing state and the current playback time" is met by the fact that the event handler and presentation have timing information [col. 10, line 65 – col. 11, line 8].

Regarding claim 6, the claimed "second interface includes web browser time information and/or application time information passed from the browser to the media player" is met by the ability for the browser and event handler to recognize times and send that information for comparison to the presentation [col. 11, lines 16-26].

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 7-34, the claimed "player-hosting peer transitions through states including inactive, active, waiting for data, and out of sync" and the "transitions", "notifications", and "passes" that take place in the player-hosting peer and the media player are met by the inherent states of the event handler and presentation. As discussed in column 11, lines 29 – 42 the event handler recognizes states of the media player and reacts accordingly. The teaching of reactions inherently teaches the fact that the event handler has states associated with the state of the presentation. The reactions of the event handler to certain actions in the presentation inherently teach the ability to transition from one state to another based on received timing and synchronization information from the presentation (media player). For example, event triggers trigger events to occur in the web browser based on the timing information transmitted from the presentation [col. 11, lines 9 – 13]. Also, the "seek command" is met by the ability for the user to select slides and do searches for pertinent information within the presentation to be displayed [col. 10, lines 4 - 13]. Also, the "destructions" concept is met by the clean-up technique taught in column 7, lines 35-45.

Regarding claim 37, the claimed "proxy layer for passing synchronization information or commands or both synchronization information and commands between the browser and an external media player" is met by the fact that the play list information and executable file as discussed in column 5, lines 8-25 serve to allow for communication between the executable file and the web browser.

Regarding claim 38, the claimed "player-hosting peer implements an interface for providing access to timing information from the player-hosting peer" is met by the event

Application/Control Number: 09/776,375

Art Unit: 2614

handler's ability to allow access to its timing information by the browser and the presentation viewer [col. 11, lines 15-26].

Regarding claim 39, the claimed "method of synchronizing playback of media content with other content or with host computer time information" is met as follows:

- The claimed step of "providing a timing representation to a media player"
   is met by the time-based index as discussed on column 10, lines 4-13.
- The claimed step of "implementing a first media player interface for object management and a second media player interface for exchanging timing and synchronization information with a web browser" is met by the presentation as discussed in column 9, lines 57-60 which provides timing information and synchronization information [col. 10, line 62 col. 11, line 8].
- The claimed step of "issuing commands from the web browser to the media player, the commands being directed to media player operations other than, and in addition to, instantiation of the media player; and notifying the web browser of media player state changes" is met by the event handling software discussed in column 10, line 50 column 11, line 42 for synchronizing and rendering media objects accordingly.

Regarding claim 40, the claimed "second media player interface includes a playback state and a current playback time passed from the media player to the web browser" is met by the presentation notifying the event handler that the change in state

of the presentation has changed [col. 11, lines 15-50] and the current position of the presentation [col. 11, line 1].

Regarding claim 41, the claimed "player and the web browser both maintain the playing state and the current playback time" is met by the fact that the event handler and presentation have timing information [col. 10, line 65 – col. 11, line 8].

Regarding claim 42, the claimed "second media player interface includes the host computer time information passed from the browser to the media player" is met by the ability for the browser and event handler to recognize times and send that information for comparison to the presentation [col. 11, lines 16-26].

Regarding claims 43-51, the claimed "notification" and "receiving and passing commands" steps are met by the inherent states of the event handler and presentation. As discussed in column 11, lines 29 - 42 the event handler recognizes states of the media player and reacts accordingly. The teaching of reactions inherently teaches the fact that the event handler has states associated with the state of the presentation. The reactions of the event handler to certain actions in the presentation inherently teach the ability to transition from one state to another based on received timing and synchronization information from the presentation (media player). For example, event triggers trigger events to occur in the web browser based on the timing information transmitted from the presentation [col. 11, lines 9 - 13]. Also, the "seek command" is met by the ability for the user to select slides and do searches for pertinent information within the presentation to be displayed [col. 10, lines 4 - 13]. Also, the "destructions" concept is met by the clean-up technique taught in column 7, lines 35-45. Finally, the

ability for the presentation to be controlled via "stop", "pause", and "play" buttons within the browser meets the ability to change states within the browser and presentation simultaneously [col. 11, lines 28-42].

Regarding claim 53, the claimed "media player is external to the browser" is met by the fact that the play list information and executable file as discussed in column 5, lines 8-25 serve to allow for communication between the executable file and the web browser.

Regarding claim 54, the claimed "step of providing a timing representation to a media player further comprises the step of implementing an interface to provide access to timing information from the web browser" is met by the event handler's ability to allow access to its timing information by the browser and the presentation viewer [col. 11, lines 15-26].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 35, 36, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen et al (USPN 6,834,371), cited by examiner.

Regarding claim 35, the Jensen reference teaches all of that which is discussed above with regards to claim 1. The Jensen reference, does not, however, teach that the

Art Unit: 2614

web browser is operating in a television set top environment. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously well-known in the art that a television set top environment can operate similarly to a computer environment because a STB is a form of a computer and a television is just another display device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the web browser in a television set top environment, in order to allow consumers without computer access the ability to use the invention in a system that they already know and possess.

Regarding claim 36, the Jensen reference teaches all of that which is discussed above with regards to claim 1. The Jensen reference, does not, however, teach that the "other content includes advertising or other commercial content synchronized with at least one portion of the media content". The Jensen reference does point out that the presentation could be a video clip [col. 15, lines 54-57]. The examiner takes Official Notice that commercial advertisements often come in the form of video clips and are often integrated into displays in order to target advertisements based on current content and viewer preferences, as is notoriously well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use advertising content for synchronization, in order to allow advertisements to be synchronized with primary content for convenient display and directed advertising to appropriate viewers based on current content information.

Regarding claim 52, the Jensen reference teaches all of that which is discussed above with regards to claim 39. The Jensen reference, does not, however, teach that

the "other content includes advertising or other commercial content synchronized with at least one portion of the media content". The Jensen reference does point out that the presentation could be a video clip [col. 15, lines 54-57]. The examiner takes Official Notice that commercial advertisements often come in the form of video clips and are often integrated into displays in order to target advertisements based on current content and viewer preferences, as is notoriously well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use advertising content for synchronization, in order to allow advertisements to be synchronized with primary content for convenient display and directed advertising to appropriate viewers based on current content information.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nason et al (USPN 6,677,964) disclose a system for synchronizing multiple displays in a computer or set top box system.

Prasad et al (USPN 6,269,122) disclose a system for synchronizing an audio stream with video playback.

Abbott et al (USPN 6,654,933) disclose a system for media stream indexing in order to synchronize display of groups of pictures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael R. Shannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7356. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday 8:00 AM – 5:00PM, with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Effective January 14, 2005, except correspondence for Maintenance Fee payments, Deposit Account Replenishments (see 1.25(c)(4)), and Licensing and Review (see 37 CFR 5.1(c) and 5.2(c)), please address correspondence to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, etc.) as follows:

United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Some correspondence may be submitted electronically. See the Office's Internet Web site http://www.uspto.gov for additional information.

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

# Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Knox Building 501 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Michael R Shannon Examiner Art Unit 2614

Michael R Shannon March 20, 2005

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600